

Scientific Report

Vol. 02 / 2016





Preface



Adhesive cementation is playing an ever increasing role in day to day dentistry. The continued development of modern, highly esthetic and minimally invasive treatment methods has in fact only been possible due to adhesive cementation.

New products come and go – unfortunately often as regularly as the International Dental Show in Cologne. It is not rare to see products modified or even withdrawn from the market before meaningful clinical data on their long-term effectiveness is available. Yet, the long-term clinical performance of an adhesive resin composite should surely be one of the most important factors when selecting a dental material.

Although materials science investigations may give some indication of the likely fitness of an adhesive luting composite in the clinical setting, only the (positive) results of clinical studies can give patients and dental professionals the real assurance they need. Adequate self-curing is another aspect of luting composites that should not be overlooked. Selfcuring constitutes the key to success for resin cements applied in areas where adequate lightpolymerisation can be problematic e.g. in root canals, deep proximal areas, metal-ceramic restorations or under opaque ceramic frameworks.

The results of the clinical studies performed on Multilink® Automix are presented in this brochure and impressively demonstrate the clinical effectiveness of this advanced adhesive luting composite. Even when compared directly with Panavia 21 – the previous standard in dual-curing adhesive cement at our clinic at the Christian-Albrechts-University in Kiel – Multilink Automix produced comparable results in the cementation of zirconium oxide but with improved handling (see Sasse et al. 2013).

Wishing you every success with all your adhesive cementation work!

Prof. Dr Matthias Kern

(ll. Her

Clinical evaluation of chairside lithium disilicate CAD/CAM crowns – 4-year report

Place of the study:University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USATime:2007 – 2011Study coordinator:J. Fasbinder

Method:

Twenty-three IPS e.max[®] lithium disilicate crowns (premolars and molars) were fabricated chairside with a CEREC[®] 3D milling unit and adhesively cemented using Multilink[®] Automix.

Results:

One week postoperatively, the participants described 13.0 percent (3/23) of the crowns as slightly sensitive. However, all participants reported not having symptoms by the third week after treatment. No patient required treatment for sensitivity.

Twenty-two of 23 cases could be evaluated at the 36-month recall, 21 at the 48-month recall. One crown debonded after 36 months and was rebonded using Multilink Automix.

48-month results:

- Colour match: 20/21 alpha, 1/21 bravo
- Margin discoloration: 16/21 alpha, 3/21 bravo-1, 2 charlie-1
- Margin adaptation: 6/21 alpha-1, 13/21 alpha-2, 2 bravo-1
- Caries: 21/21 alpha



Summary:

All the 23 crowns seated with Multilink Automix were clinically acceptable after 48 months; one case of debonding was reported for Multilink Automix after 36 months. This crown was rebonded without incident using Multilink Automix.

Conclusion:

IPS e.max CAD crowns cemented with Multilink Automix proved their clinical efficiency over a period of 3 years; no loss of a restoration occurred.

Reference: (Fasbinder, 2010 + 2011)

Clinical performance of CAD/CAM-fabricated lithium disilicate restorations

Place of the study:Ludwig Maximilian University Munich, Munich, GermanyTime:2007 – 2011Study coordinator:F. Beuer

Method:

Fifteen fully anatomical and partially reduced IPS e.max[®] CAD (lithium disilicate) restorations were cemented using Multilink[®] Automix.

Results:

After an average observation period of 4 years, there have been no reported failures of the restorations. In addition, there were no instances of hypersensitivity and no incidences of debonding.



Summary:

- 100% survival after 4 years
- No hypersensitivity in vital teeth

Conclusion:

Multilink Automix proved its clinical efficiency in combination with IPS e.max CAD over a period of 4 years.

Reference: (Richter, 2009; Beuer 2011)

In-vivo marginal seal of Multilink[®]: IPS Empress[®] 2 all-ceramic crowns vs. conventional porcelain-veneered crowns

Place of the study:University of Graz, Graz, AustriaTime:2003 – 2007Study coordinator:G. Arnetzl

Method:

Prospective clinical study with 28 patients over a period of 48 months. Fifty-four crowns (27 made of Empress 2 and 27 made of IPS d.SIGN[®] on Porta GeoTi), as well as 6 inlays, 5 onlays, 6 adhesive bridges and 3 post&core build-ups were adhesively cemented using Multilink[®].

Results:

For only 2 (2.7%) of 74 restorations, postoperative sensitivities were noted at baseline (one inlay with the cavity area near the pulp, one onlay with postoperative sensitivity to pressure). Both sensitivity episodes did not last longer than 36 hours.

In contrast to other self-etching primers, no desquamation of the epithelial tissue was noted.

No tissue reaction of the gingiva in the form of reddening, bleeding, oedema, or whitish surface burns occurred. No loss of retention was noted after 48 months.

• Recall 6 months: 50 restorations:

No sensitivities, no loss of retention, no marginal discoloration

Recall 18 months: 50 restorations:Recall 48 months: 50 restorations:

No sensitivities, no loss of retention, no marginal discoloration No sensitivities, no loss of retention, one marginal discoloration (patient with known regular red wine consumption)

with I



Summary:

In only 2 (2.7%) of 74 restorations, postoperative sensitivities were noted for a maximum of 36 hours. Fifty of initially 74 restorations were analysed at the recall after 48 months: no loss of retention was observed. Only in one of 50 cases a slight margin discoloration occurred in a patient with known regular consumption of red wine.

Conclusion:

Multilink® Automix has proven reliable over an observation period of 48 months, with no loss of retention.

Reference: (Salz and Arnetzl, 2007)

Title of the study: Clinical performance and fit of a milled ceramic crown system

Place of the study:Boston University, Boston, MA, USATime:2005 – 2008Study coordinator:D. Nathanson

Method:

Thirty-one IPS e.max[®] CAD LS₂ crowns (23 anterior crowns, 8 posterior crowns) were placed in 14 patients. They were veneered with IPS e.max[®] Ceram and cemented using Multilink[®] or Multilink[®] Automix. Marginal accuracy and clinical performance were assessed.

Results:

Mean in-vitro marginal fit was 67.92 (+/-25.2) μ m. Clinical fit was ranked Alpha for all restorations. Three anterior single crowns required re-fabrication for improved colour. Seventeen restorations (55% of all) were evaluated at 2–3 years. One (posterior) restoration fractured after requiring RCT through the crown after 12 months.



Summary:

After an observation period of up to 3 years, only one crown fractured after endodontic treatment through the crown. No other adverse findings were noted throughout the recall process.

Reference: (Nathanson, 2008)

CAD/CAM single retainer zirconia-ceramic resin-bonded fixed dental prostheses: clinical outcome after 5 years

Place of the study:University of Kiel, Kiel, GermanyTime:2006 – 2013Study coordinator:M. Kern

Method:

Fourteen anterior zirconia cantilever adhesive bridges were inserted using Multilink® Automix with Metal/Zirconia primer.

Results:

After 20.8 months one debonding occurred with Multilink caused by a traumatic impact. The restoration was rebonded successfully with the original bonding system. If these debondings were considered as (partial) technical failure the overall five-year survival rate calculated with SPSS Software according to Kaplan–Meier was 92.9%. If only the final loss of a bridge was considered as failure and therefore considering rebonded bridge as success, the five-year survival rate increased to 100%.



Summary:

After 20.8 months one debonding occurred with Multilink Automix caused by a traumatic impact. With regard to the total of 14 inserted bridges, the survival rate can be considered as 92.9%. The restoration was rebonded using Multilink Automix and is still in situ after five years.

Reference: (Sasso 2012, Sasso and Korn

(Sasse 2012, Sasse and Kern 2013)

Title of the study:	Prospective clinica	l evaluation of	f all-ceramic	crowns at 36 months
---------------------	---------------------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------

Place of the study:	University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA
Time:	Observation period of 36 months
Study coordinator:	D. Felton and L. Cooper

Method:

Twenty-three patients received 33 posterior all-ceramic lithium disilicate single unit crowns (IPS Eris / IPS Empress® 2, Ivoclar Vivadent), bonded within 3 weeks of tooth preparation with Multilink®.

Results:

Twenty-nine of 33 teeth (87.8%) exhibited no symptoms or radiographic evidence of pulpal pathology; one tooth required root canal treatment (RCT) prior to crown delivery, three required RCT after crown cementation. No other experimental or control teeth exhibited any postoperative sensitivity nor evidence of peri-apical pathology at the 12-month interval. There was a loss of proximal contact on 5% of the crowns.



Conclusion:

Lithium disilicate all-ceramic crowns, bonded to prepared posterior teeth with Multilink composite resin cement, clinically perform well with minimal pulpal problems for full-coverage restorations at 36 months.

Reference: (Felton 2010)

Title of the study:	Three-unit CAD-CAM-fabricated lithium disilicate bridges after a mean observation period of 46 months.
Place of the study:	Multi-center study in Berlin, Buchholz i. d. Nordheide, Zwickau and Aachen, Germany, under the direction of the RWTH Aachen, Germany
Time:	2008–2012
Study coordinator:	S. Reich, L. Endres, C. Weber, K. Wiedhahn, P. Neumann, O. Schneider, N. Rafai, S. Wolfart

Method:

A total of 38 three-unit bridges up to max. the second premolar as the abutment tooth were fabricated of IPS e.max[®] CAD LT and placed in 33 patients. Fifteen bridges were layered with IPS e.max Ceram after cut-back. Cementation was performed with Multilink® Automix.

Results:

After 48 months, 32 bridges were evaluated. For patients who obtained more than one bridge, only one bridge was selected at random for the evaluation. One female patient did not appear for the recall because she had moved away. Two bridges were rated as failures. One of them fractured in the connector area and the other had to be removed due to unexplained, continuous pain. Two minor cases of repairable chipping were observed after 3 years. Furthermore, 3 endodontic complications occurred in 2 bridges after 1.3 and 1.6 years (one of these bridges was removed after 3 years, as described above, due to pain). The survival rate according to Kaplan-Meier was 93%.



Summary:

Only one fracture of the restorations seated thus far was reported after a mean observation period of 46 years. This fracture occurred within one year after placement and was caused by the failure to observe the recommended connector dimensions.

Conclusion:

Bridges made of IPS e.max CAD luted with Multilink Automix up to the premolars proved their clinical efficiency over a period of 4 years.

Reference:

(Richter et al., 2009; Reich et al., 2014)

Title of the study: Multilink[®] Automix – Four-Year Clinical Performance

Place of the study:The Dental AdvisorTime:2010-2014Study coordinator:The Dental Advisor

Method:

Four hundred seven restorations cemented with Multilink[®] Automix were recalled at four years (241 full crowns, 157 inlays/onlays and 3 3-unit bridges). Multilink Automix was used to cement leucite-reinforced (201), lithium disilicate (173), zirconia (25) and resin based (1) restorations. The evaluated restorations were in situ for 4 years (87), 3-4 years (119) and 2-3 years (107), 1-2 years (57) or less than one year (37). At recall, restorations were evaluated for resistance to marginal discoloration and retention on a 1-5 rating scale: 1 = poor, 2 = fair, 3 = good, 4 = very good, 5 = excellent.

Results:

Multilink Automix maintained excellent color stability in 100% of restorations placed over the four-year evaluation period (esthetics were rated 5.0). Five restorations exhibited staining or graying at the margins. The color of nearly 99% of the restorations has been stable with almost no evidence of microleakage (average rating of resistance to marginal discoloration 4.9).

Lack of sensitivity was rated excellent (5.0). No additional postoperative sensitivity was reported between years three and four.

Retention was rated excellent (4.9) with an adjusted debond rate of 2.7%. Sixteen restorations debonded during the past four years. These restorations included ten lithium disilicate restorations; four ceramic-veneered zirconia restorations, one of which was a three-unit bridge; and two leucite-reinforced restorations.



98% clinical performance

Summary:

Four hundred and seven restorations, cemented with Multilink Automix, recalled at four years. The restorations continue to exhibit excellent esthetics, excellent lack of postoperative sensitivity, very good resistance to marginal staining and excellent retention.

Clinicians feel confident about the longevity of the restorations they cement with this product. Multilink Automix received a 98% clinical performance rating.

Reference: (The Dental Advisor 2014)

Title of the study: 8 years' clinical behavior of adhesively luted all-ceramic single-unit restorations

Place of the study:Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan/LiechtensteinTime:2007–2016Study coordinator:R. Watzke, L. Enggist

Objective:

Evaluation of the clinical behavior of all-ceramic lithium disilicate (IPS e.max[®] CAD /Press) single-unit restorations luted with Multilink[®] Automix after a mean observation period of around 8 years.

Method:

Fifty-five single-unit restorations (IPS e.max CAD / Press) were adhesively luted with Multilink Automix. 33 crowns, 13 partial coverage crowns and 9 inlays were placed by two operators. After a mean observation time of 7.9 years in clinical function 49 restorations could be assessed by selected FDI-criteria (Hickel et al. 2010). The FDI criteria include the evaluation of esthetic (A), functional (B) and biological (C) properties. Criteria concerning the restorations' marginal quality were semi-quantitatively evaluated as a percentage of the total margin length (SQUACE). For statistical analysis, SPSS 19.00 was used.

Overall, there were 6 drop-outs. Three patients could no longer be reached. One crown fractured because the occlusal minimal thickness was not respected. Two teeth were extracted due to vertical root fracture or post-endodontic failure. Of the assessed restorations the longest period in situ was 9 years and 1 month and the shortest was 7 years and 2 months.

Results:

100% Retention

After almost eight years most restorations exhibited "excellent" to "good" clinical performance. 17% of the total length of all the margins showed slight discoloration (FDA grade 2) and 16% of the margins showed minor irregularities.



Summary:

After almost eight years of clinical service most restorations cemented with Multilink Automix showed an outstanding clinical performance.

Reference: (Peschke and Watzke 2013, Enggist 2016)

Title of the study: Retrospective survival analysis of 110 lithium disilicate crowns with feather-edge marginal preparation

Place of the study:Private practice, Pordenone, ItalyTime:2004–2013Study coordinator:M. Valenti, A. Valenti

Method:

In total, 110 lithium disilicate crowns with feather-edge marginal preparation were cemented with Multilink Automix in 59 patients. The restorations were evaluated by a different clinician after up to 9 years using the California Dental Association (CDA) modified criteria. The data were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method.

Results:

Two crowns had failed and were replaced due to core fractures. One chipping occurred on a first molar and the ceramic surface was polished. One restoration with a fiber post debonded after 46 months.



Summary: The overall survival probability was 96.1% up to 9 years.

Conclusion:

In this retrospective analysis up to 9 years, a low clinical failure rate was observed.

Reference: (Valenti M, Valenti A, 2015) Beuer F (2011). Bericht zur klinischen Eignung von Kronen und Brücken aus Lithiumdisilikat – Ergebnisse nach 4 Jahren. Study report.

Enggist L, Peschke A, Huth S, Watzke S (2016). 8-Year Clinical Behavior of Adhesively Luted All-Ceramic Single-Unit Restorations. IADR Jerusalem.

Fasbinder DJ, Dennison JB, Heys D, Neiva G (2010). A clinical evaluation of chairside lithium disilicate CAD/CAM crowns: a two-year report. Journal of the American Dental Association 141 Suppl 2:10S-4S.

Fasbinder DJ (2011). Clinical Evaluation of a Glass Ceramic Material for Chair-side CAD/CAM Crowns. Study report.

Felton D (2010). Prospective Clinical Evaluation of All-ceramic Crowns at 36 Months. IADR Washington.

Hickel R, Roulet JF, Bayne S, Heintze SD, Mjor IA, Peters M, Rousson V, Randall R, Schmalz G, Tyas M, Vanherle G (2007). Recommendations for conducting controlled clinical studies of dental restorative materials. Science Committee Project 2/98-FDI World Dental Federation study design (Part I) and criteria for evaluation (Part II) of direct and indirect restorations including onlays and partial crowns. J Adhes Dent 9 Suppl 1:121-47.

Nathanson D, Al-Harbi FA, Whiteman Y (2008). Clinical performance and fit of a milled ceramic crown system. IADR Toronto.

Richter J, Schweiger J, Gernet W, Beuer F (2009). Clinical Performance of CAD/CAM-fabricated lithium-disilicate restorations. IADR Munich. Salz U, Arnetzl G (2007). Neues selbsthärtendes, selbstätzendes Komposit-Befestigungsmaterial. ZWR Das deutsche Zahnärzteblatt 116:607-614.

Sasse M, Eschbach S and Kern M (2012). "Randomized clinical trial on single retainer all-ceramic resin-bonded fixed dental prostheses: Influence of the bonding system after up to 55 months." J Dent 40(9): 783-786.

Sasse M and Kern M (2013). "CAD/CAM single retainer zirconia-ceramic resin-bonded fixed dental prostheses: clinical outcome after 5 years." Int J Comput Dent 16(2): 109-118.

Peschke A, Enggist L, Watzke R (2013). 2-Year Clinical Behavior of Adhesively Cemented All-Ceramic Single-Unit Restorations. IADR Seattle

Reich S, Endres L, Weber C, Wiedhahn K, Neumann P, Schneider O, Rafai N, Wolfart S (2013). Three-unit CAD/CAM generated lithium-disilicate FDPs after a mean obervation time of 46 months. Clin Oral Invest (2014) 18(9): 2171-8.

The Dental Advisor, Vol. 31, No. 06, June 2014

Valenti M, Valenti A. Retrospective survival analysis of 110 lithium disilicate crowns with feather-edge marginal preparation. The International Journal of Esthetic Dentistry 2015 Summer;10(2):246-57.

This documentation contains a survey of internal and external scientific data ("Information"). The documentation and Information have been prepared exclusively for use inhouse by lvoclar Vivadent and for external lvoclar Vivadent partners. They are not intended to be used for any other purpose. While we believe the Information is current, we have not reviewed all of the Information, and we cannot and do not guarantee its accuracy, truthfulness or reliability. We will not be liable for use of or reliance on any of the Information, even if we have been advised to the contrary. In particular, use of the information is at your sole risk. It is provided "as available" and without any warranty express or implied, including (without limitation) of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. The Information has been provided without cost to you and in no event will we or anyone associated with us be liable to you or any other person for any incidental, direct, indirect, consequential, special or punitive damages (including, but not limited to, damages for lost data, loss of use or any cost to procure substitute information) arising out of your or another's use of or inability to use the Information even if we or our agents know of the possibility of such damages.



Multilink[®] Automix | SCIENTIFIC REPORT

Ivoclar Vivadent – worldwide

Ivoclar Vivadent AG

Bendererstrasse 2 9494 Schaan Liechtenstein Tel. +423 235 35 35 Fax +423 235 33 60 www.ivoclarvivadent.com

Ivoclar Vivadent Pty. Ltd.

1 – 5 Overseas Drive P.O. Box 367 Noble Park, Vic. 3174 Australia Tel. +61 3 9795 9599 Fax +61 3 9795 9645 www.ivoclarvivadent.com.au

Ivoclar Vivadent GmbH

Tech Gate Vienna Donau-City-Strasse 1 1220 Wien Austria Tel. +43 1 263 191 10 Fax: +43 1 263 191 111 www.ivoclarvivadent.at

Ivoclar Vivadent Ltda.

Alameda Caiapós, 723 Centro Empresarial Tamboré CEP 06460-110 Barueri – SP Brazil Tel. +55 11 2424 7400 Fax +55 11 3466 0840 www.ivoclarvivadent.com.br

Ivoclar Vivadent Inc.

1-6600 Dixie Road Mississauga, Ontario L5T 2Y2 Canada Tel. +1 905 670 8499 Fax +1 905 670 3102 www.ivoclarvivadent.us

Ivoclar Vivadent Shanghai

Trading Co., Ltd. 2/F Building 1, 881 Wuding Road, Jing An District 200040 Shanghai China Tel. +86 21 6032 1657 Fax +86 21 6176 0968 www.ivaclarviyadent.com

Ivoclar Vivadent Marketing

Ltd. Calle 134 No. 7-B-83, Of. 520 Bogotá Colombia Tel. +57 1 627 3399 Fax +57 1 633 1663 www.ivoclarvivadent.co

Ivoclar Vivadent SAS B.P. 118 F-74410 Saint-Jorioz France

France Tel. +33 4 50 88 64 00 Fax +33 4 50 68 91 52 www.ivoclarvivadent.fr

Ivoclar Vivadent GmbH

Dr. Adolf-Schneider-Str. 2 D-73479 Ellwangen, Jagst Germany Tel. +49 7961 889 0 Fax +49 7961 6326 www.ivoclarvivadent.de

Wieland Dental + Technik

GmbH & Co. KG Lindenstrasse 2 75175 Pforzheim Germany Tel. +49 7231 3705 0 Fax +49 7231 3579 59 www.wieland-dental.com

Ivoclar Vivadent Marketing

(India) Pvt. Ltd. 503/504 Raheja Plaza 15 B Shah Industrial Estate Veera Desai Road, Andheri (West) Mumbai, 400 053 India Tel. +91 22 2673 0302 Fax +91 22 2673 0301 www.ivoclarvivadent.in

Ivoclar Vivadent Marketing Ltd.

The Icon The Icon Horizon Broadway BSD Block M5 No. 1 Kecamatan Cisauk Kelurahan Sampora 15345 Tangerang Selatan – Banten Indonesia Tel. +62 21 3003 2932 Fax +62 21 3003 2934 www.ivoclarvivadent.com

Ivoclar Vivadent s.r.l. Via Isonzo 67/69 40033 Casalecchio di Reno (BO) Italy Tel. +39 051 6113555 Fax +39 051 6113565 www.ivoclarvivadent.it

Ivoclar Vivadent K.K. 1-28-24-4F Hongo Bunkyo-ku Tokyo 113-0033 Japan Tel. +81 3 6903 3535 Fax +81 3 5844 3657 www.ivoclarvivadent.jp

Ivoclar Vivadent Ltd. 12F W-Tower 54 Seocho-daero 77-gil, Seocho-gu Seoul, 06611 Republic of Korea Tel. +82 2 536 0714 Fax +82 2 596 0155 www.ivoclarvivadent.co.kr

Ivoclar Vivadent S.A. de C.V. Calzada de Tlalpan 564, Col Moderna, Del Benito Juárez 03810 México, D.F. México Tel. +52 (55) 50 62 10 00 Fax +52 (55) 50 62 10 29 www.ivoclarvivadent.com.mx

Ivoclar Vivadent BV

De Fruittuinen 32 2132 NZ Hoofddorp Netherlands Tel. +31 23 529 3791 Fax +31 23 555 4504 www.ivoclarvivadent.com

Ivoclar Vivadent Ltd.

12 Omega St, Rosedale PO Box 303011 North Harbour Auckland 0751 New Zealand Tel. +64 9 914 9999 Fax +64 9 914 9990 www.ivoclarvivadent.co.nz

Ivoclar Vivadent Polska Sp.

z o.o. ul. Jana Pawla II 78 00-175 Warszawa Poland Tel. +48 22 635 5496 Fax +48 22 635 5469 www.ivoclarvivadent.pl

Ivoclar Vivadent LLC

Prospekt Andropova 18 korp. 6/ office 10-06 115432 Moscow Russia Tel. +7 499 418 0300 Fax +7 499 418 0310 www.ivoclarvivadent.ru

Ivoclar Vivadent Marketing

Ltd. Qlaya Main St. Siricon Building No.14, 2nd Floor Office No. 204 P.O. Box 300146 Riyadh 11372 Saudi Arabia Tel. +966 11 293 8345 Fax +966 11 293 8344 www.ivoclarvivadent.com

Ivoclar Vivadent S.L.U.

Carretera de Fuencarral n°24 Portal 1 – Planta Baja 28108-Alcobendas (Madrid) Spain Tel. +34 91 375 78 20 Fax +34 91 375 78 38 www.iyoclarvivadent es

Ivoclar Vivadent AB

Dalvägen 14 S-169 56 Solna Sweden Tel. +46 8 514 939 30 Fax +46 8 514 939 40 www.ivoclarvivadent.se

Ivoclar Vivadent Liaison Office

stravikye Mahallesi Sakayik Sokak Nisantas' Plaza No:38/2 Kat:5 Daire:24 34021 Sisli – Istanbul Turkey Tel. +90 212 343 0802 Fax +90 212 343 0842 www.ivoclarvivadent.com

Ivoclar Vivadent Limited Compass Building Feldspar Close Warrens Business Park Enderby Leicester LE19 4SD United Kingdom Tel. +44 116 284 7880 Fax +44 116 284 7881 www.ivoclarvivadent.co.uk

Ivoclar Vivadent, Inc. 175 Pineview Drive Amherst, N.Y. 14228

USA Tel. +1 800 533 6825 Fax +1 716 691 2285 www.ivoclarvivadent.us



This product forms a part of our Fixed Prosthetics category. All the products of this category are optimally coordinated with each other.

