



Techniquetolerant and time-saving

Scientific Documentation



Table of contents

1.	Intro	oduction3
	1.1	Fundamentals of primers4
	1.2	Challenges for the research chemist4
	1.3	Hydrolytically stable monomers from Ivoclar Vivadent5
	1.4	The product AdheSE6
2.	Тес	hnical data7
3.	Inve	estigation of the material (<i>in vitro</i>)8
	3.1	In vitro examination of application procedures
	3.2	Shear bond strength9
	3.3	Tensile bond strength10
	3.4	Quality of margins11
	3.5	Morphological examinations13
4.	Clin	ical investigations (<i>in vivo</i>)15
	4.1	Internal clinical investigations of AdheSE15
	4.2	$\label{eq:comparison} Comparison of a new self-etching adhesive in the treatment of Class I and II cavities ~~15$
	4.3	Clinical investigation of AdheSE and Excite for the restoration of cervical defects16
	4.4	Clinically controlled investigation of the Artemis restorative material16
	4.5	Prof. Dr. Joseph Dennison, University of Michigan, USA16
	4.6 (Clinical evaluation of the AdheSE System in Class V cervical abrasions
	4.7 [Dr. Mark A. Latta, Creighton University School of Dentistry, Nebraska, USA17
	4.8 [Dr. Carlos Munoz, Dr. James Dunn, Loma Linda University, California, USA18
5.	Bio	compatibility19
	5.1	Composition19
	5.2	General considerations19
	5.3	Cytotoxicity19
	5.4	Mutagenicity of AdheSE primer19
	5.5	Irritation20
	5.6	Application specific considerations20
	5.7	Conclusions
6.	Ref	erences22

1. Introduction

At the beginning of the 1990s, the adhesive technique opened up new perspectives in dentistry. Since this technique allows restorative materials to be bonded to the remaining tooth substance, it is no longer necessary to prepare surfaces for mechanical retention (Leinfelder, 1996). Consequently, healthy tooth structure can be preserved. Some of the pioneering products such as Syntac are still considered to be among the clinically most successful adhesive systems (Folwaczny *et al.*, 2000; Mazer *et al.*, 1994; Pröbster *et al.*, 1996). The clinical success of these multiple-bottle adhesives can be attributed to the fact that they take into account the requirements of the different bonding substrates, *i.e.*, enamel and dentin. The requirements that a dentin adhesive must fulfil are recapitulated below:

- To achieve an etching pattern in the enamel and expose the dentin tubules and collagen, hydroxyapatite must be removed by etching. An aqueous acid is used for this purpose.
- Etched enamel can be dried. Therefore, a hydrophobic bonding agent can be applied directly.
- Conditioned dentin is hydrophilic and moist. Consequently, a bond between a hydrophilic substrate and hydrophobic composite material must be achieved.

For research chemists, therefore, it is easier to develop a product that comprises several components which are sequentially applied to establish a bond between the tooth and the composite than a product that is made up of only one or two components. Table 1 shows the different "tasks" of the components of multiple-bottle bonding systems (Syntac) and one-bottle total etch adhesives (Excite). Nevertheless, adhesives featuring fewer bottles and application steps have been developed in response to the demand of dental professionals for

- a reduced number of components;
- a reduced number of working steps;
- faster application procedures.

The efforts to develop bonding systems using a reduced number of components have proceeded in two directions. Many products combine the function of the priming and the bonding agent in one component. These products are known as one-bottle adhesives and are used with the total etch technique. Unfortunately, these streamlined products are not as easy to use as they were intended to be. The following problems may arise when using a one-bottle adhesive.

- Dentin may be etched too deeply. If this is the case, the exposed collagen will not be infiltrated with adhesive throughout the demineralized layer.
- Dentin may be excessively dried. The exposed collagen collapses and hinders the penetration of the adhesive into the dentin tubules and the collagen network.
- If the dentin is too moist, the adhesive may become diluted with water.
- The adhesive may be dispersed with too much air pressure, leaving a very thin layer, which cannot be polymerized because of oxygen inhibition.

In the meantime, these one-bottle adhesives have become known as being technique sensitive (Frankenberger *et al.*, 2000). The discussions about how moist dentin should be are familiar to everyone (Gwinnett, 1992). Undoubtedly, these one-bottle adhesives can achieve equally successful results as multiple-bottle systems if they are used precisely as directed. Nevertheless, multiple-bottle systems are more tolerant of application errors than the one-bottle adhesives. Thus, the dental profession has great expectations for adhesive systems that no longer involve phosphoric acid etching.

The second approach has been to reduce the number of bottles and combine the conditioning and wetting agents in what are known as self-etching primers. Our new product AdhesSE has been developed along these lines.

Working step	Task of the step	Syntac	Excite	AdheSE
Enamel conditioning	Exposure of the retentive etching pattern	H ₃ PO ₄	H ₃ PO ₄	
Dentin conditioning	Exposure of the collagen network and dentin tubules	Syntac Primer	H ₃ PO ₄	AdheSE Primer
Wetting	Transition between hydrophilic and hydrophobic tooth structure	Syntac Adhesive	Excite	
Bonding	Bond to the composite	Heliobond		AdheSE Bond

1.1 Fundamentals of primers

Dentin contains 25 vol% water (Schroeder, 1991). Therefore, it is moist as well as hydrophilic. Hence, the primer must contain hydrophilic polymerizable components, which will thoroughly wet this substrate. Self-etching primers must have a low pH and contain enough acid to dissolve the smear layer as well as superficial enamel and dentin mineral.

At the same time, it should be possible to polymerize the monomers of the primer together with the bonding component to allow a firm resin layer to infiltrate into the exposed dentinal collagen. This function can only be successfully fulfilled if the primer also contains a cross-linking monomer that is adequately hydrophilic so that it dissolves in water, but hydrophobic enough to enable it to bond with the more hydrophobic adhesive.

1.2 Challenges for the research chemist

In the development of a self-etching primer, chemists are faced with a considerable problem of a fundamental nature. To dissolve the smear layer and expose the retentive enamel pattern as well as the dentinal collagen, hydroxyapatite has to be removed by etching. A strong waterbased acid has to be used for this purpose. Under these chemical conditions, however, most monomers are unstable. Phosphoric acid ester and methacrylic acid ester compounds in particular can by hydrolized by aqueous solutions with an acid pH value (Moszner *et al.*, 2001).

Most of the manufacturers of self-etching adhesives have managed to circumvent this problem in two different ways:

- The acid monomers of the primer and water are mixed just before they are used.
- The product has to be refrigerated to retard hydrolysis.

Clearly, this problem can only be solved if adhesive monomers that retain their hydrolytic stability in conjunction with highly acidic pH values are used. This type of composition would eliminate the necessity of having to mix two components and ensure that the quality of the material remains unchanged, even if it is not transported or stored correctly on its way from the manufacturer to the customer.

1.3 Hydrolytically stable monomers from lvoclar Vivadent

Most manufacturers use what are known as phosphoric acid ester compounds as the acid monomer. Such compounds, however, have one drawback: The C-O-P bond is not hydrolytically stable (Fig 1). Ivoclar Vivadent has been developing and patenting hydrolytically stable monomers for some time. A phosphonic acid compound, for example, was used as the acid monomer for the first time ever in the one-bottle adhesive Excite. This compound is decidedly more stable, as the phosphorous atom directly bonds with a carbon atom (C-P) (Fig 1).



phosphate (hydrolytically unstable) Mixture of products: i.e.: phosphoric acid, HEMA, methacrylic acid



phosphonate (hydrolytically stable)

Figure 1: Structural formula of phosphonic acid acrylate (adhesive monomer in Excite) compared with that of a phosphoric acid ester compound.

In dimethacrylates, which are the most frequently used in resin-based dental materials, the methacrylate group is attached to the rest of the molecule by an ester bond. This bond is also not hydrolytically stable. If monomers such as these are used in highly acid primers, they slowly decompose, releasing methacrylic acid (Fig 2).



Figure 2: Structure of a monomer in which the methacrylate group is attached to the hydrocarbon structure with an ester bond and the hydrolytically stable bis-acrylamide compound, which is used in AdheSE.

Therefore, Ivoclar Vivadent has consistently pursued the goal of developing hydrolytically stable monomers for dental materials. The actual result of this endeavour is a bis-acrylamide compound (Fig 2) that dissolves in water as well as in organic solvents. This type of monomer is ideal for the primer of dentin adhesives. Figure 3 shows the results of a study in which the hydrolytic stability of triethylene glycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA), glycerol dimethacrylate (GDMA) and the new bis-acrylamide was examined in two experimental primer formulations.



Figure 3: Two experimental self-etching primers were fabricated. Primer A contains 40% phosphonic acid acrylate, 20% bis-acrylamide and 40% water, while Primer B contains 30% phosphonic acid acrylate, 15% triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA), 5% glycerine dimethacrylate, hydroxyethyl methacrylate and water. The primers were stored at room temperature (23°C). The dimethacrylate content was measured at base line and after 4, 8, 12 and 16 weeks.

Investigated by R&D Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein

These investigations show that the bis-acrylamide compound used in AdheSE Primer is hydrolytically stable at room temperature. By contrast, TEGDMA and GDMA had hydrolized to a large extent under these conditions after four months.

1.4 The product AdheSE

AdheSE is the new self-etching adhesive from Ivoclar Vivadent. It is composed of a primer and a bonding component which are successively applied. Subsequently, the adhesive is cured with light. AdheSE is indicated for use with direct light-curing composite restoratives.

2. Technical data

Standard composition

- PrimerPhosphonic acid acrylateBis-acrylamideWaterInitiators and stabilizers
- BondingDimethacrylateHydroxyethyl methacrylateHighly dispersed silicon dioxideInitiators and stabilizers

Physical properties:

Shear bond strength on dentin	>20	N/mm²
Shear bond strength on enamel	>20	N/mm²

In vitro investigations form the basis for all materials tests during the development phase of a dental product. Naturally, thorough *in vitro* investigations are not capable of predicting clinical success. Nevertheless, they represent the most important instrument during the development phase for testing different formulations and optimizing them. It goes without saying that only once an adhesive has shown good performance *in vitro*, will it be clinically tested in humans.

In the development of dental adhesives, the adhesive strength of the material is of primary importance. Marginal quality tests are conducted with the most successful formulations. In most cases, extracted teeth are used for this purpose.

3.1 *In vitro* examination of application procedures

Not only the chemistry of the adhesive is important for achieving a sound bond between the tooth structure and a restorative material, but also the way in which the dentist applies the adhesive. Therefore, the manufacturer must provide accurate information about how the product must be used. This will help the operator to achieve optimal results.

As self-etching adhesives do not require enamel to be conditioned with phosphoric acid, the correct application of the primer is immensely important to achieving a retentive etching pattern. For this purpose, various ways of applying the primer have been examined.



100 80 Continuous margin (%) 0 60 40 Before loading 20 After loading 0 N =6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 45 s Primer 15 s Primer 15 s Primer 15 s Primer 0 s Bonding 20 s Bonding 15 s inactive 10 s Bondina 10 s Bondina

Figure 4:

Shearbondstrength and the quality of margins were examined as a function of the application procedure of AdheSE Primer and AdheSE Bonding.

Dentin Shearbondstrength was measured after 24 h immersion in water at 37°C.

The quality of the margins was determined at the enamel margin in Class V cavities. The restorations were immersed in water for 1 week at 37°C. The margin quality was established on the basis of impressions in the electron microscope (before loading). After the restored teeth had been exposed to 640,000x occlusal load cycles and 1,500x temperature cycles between 5 and 55°C, the quality of the margins was examined again on the basis of impressions (after loading).

The shear bond strength values on dentin and marginal results in enamel are shown as a function of the application of the primer and the bonding component in Figure 4. The following application methods were examined:

- 1. Apply AdheSE Primer as quickly as possible (0 s Primer), wait (inactive), apply AdheSE Bonding as quickly as possible (0 s Bonding) and light cure immediately
- 2. Apply AdheSE Primer for 15 s (15 s Primer) and apply Bonding and light cure
- 3. Apply AdheSE Primer for 15 s, wait 15 s and apply Bonding and light cure
- 4. Apply AdheSE Primer for 45 s, apply Bonding and light cure

The investigations of the shearbondstrength and the analysis of the margins indicate that active application of the Primer for 15 seconds and a total reaction time of the Primer of 30 s are necessary to achieve optimal bondstrength values and marginal quality. Longer application of the Primer has even been shown to improve the material's performance. By contrast, no significant difference was noted when the bonding component was immediately cured after its application or if another 10 s were allowed to elapse.

3.2 Shear bond strength

In general, two test principles are applied in bond strength tests. In the shearbondstrength test, a composite test specimen that has been bonded to a substrate with the adhesive to be tested is sheared off parallel to the bonding surface. In the tensile strength test, the load is applied at a right angle to the bonding surface. Bond strength tests have been conducted with AdheSE at a number of test centres. The gathered data are summarized below:



Shear bond strength on dentin compared with competitive products

Head of study: Prof Dr Powers, University of Texas, USA



Shear bond strength in a "one-bottle bond" test in which 55 practising dentists fabricated a total of 400 test specimens

Head of study: Prof Dr Degrange, University of Paris, France



Bonding values immediately after the fabrication Shearbondstrength on enamel and dentin of the test specimen and bond after 24 h

Head of study: Prof Dr Carlos Munoz, Loma Münster, Germany Linda University, USA

AdheSE

Head of study: Prof Dr K-J Reinhardt, University of

Clearfil SE

Bond

Prompt-L-

Pop

3.3 **Tensile bond strength**

Tensile bond strength tests are increasingly used to examine dental adhesives. Many investigators use what are known as microtensile strength tests.

40

30

20

10

0

Shearbondstrength (MPa)



Enamel

Dentin





Microtensile bond strengths of AdheSE compared with those of competitive products. Unless otherwise mentioned, Z 100 was used as the composite. Data from competitors have been examined under identical conditions. Source: *De Munck J et al*, Microtensile bond strengths of one- and two-step self-etch adhesives to bur-cut enamel and dentin. American Journal of Dentistry, in press.

Head of study: Prof Dr Bart van Meerbeek, Katholike Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

3.4 Quality of margins

3.4.1 Tightness of the margins

Examinations of the tightness of the margins are conducted in the laboratory to establish the quality that restorations can achieve in clinical situations. For this purpose, either Class V or Class II restorations are placed in extracted teeth. The quality of the margins is examined by taking impressions. In addition, the samples may be subjected to temperature cycling. Masticatory functions are simulated by cyclic mechanical loading.



Artificial Class V cavities were restored with AdheSE and either InTen-S or Tetric Ceram. The cavity was prepared in such a way as to allow both dentin and enamel to be cut with a diamond bur. In the third test group, extracted teeth with natural wedge-shaped lesions and in the fourth group, teeth with cervical erosions were employed without further mechanical preparation. Instead, wedge-shaped lesions and erosions were only cleaned with a polishing paste to remove plaque and pellicle layers. The results show that all the test groups achieved excellent marginal quality in dentin as well as enamel before temperature cycling (TC). In the Class V restorations, 2000 temperature cycles between 5 and 55 °C did not lead to a significant deterioration of the marginal quality. A slight decrease in the quality of the margin was noted after temperature cycling of wedge-shaped lesions and erosions.

Head of study: Dr Uwe Blunck, Charité, Berlin, Germany.

3.4.2 SEM pictures

The quality of the margin between the tooth substance and a composite bonded with AdheSE has been documented by scanning electron micrographs. Class IV cavities were treated with the restorative composite called Artemis. AdheSE was used as the adhesive. The following photographs show that the immaculate interface between the dentin and the composite remains virtually unchanged after 1,200,000 cycles in the chewing simulator and the subsequent 3000 temperature cycles between 5 and 50 °C.

Investigation: Prof Dr I Krejci, University of Geneva, Switzerland



(AdheSE & FZ Composite) before loading in dentin: Excellent marginal adaptation.

(AdheSE & FZ Composite) after loading in dentin: Almost perfect marginal adaptation.

Investigation: Prof Dr I Krejci, University of Geneva, Switzerland

3.5 Morphological examinations

Morphological examinations show the structures of the transition between the tooth substance and the restorative material. Electron microscope images obtained during the examination of AdheSE are shown below.

Enamel etching pattern



Enamel etching pattern of AdheSE Primer

Enamel etching pattern of Clearfil SE Bond



Enamel etching pattern of Prompt-L-Pop

Enamel etching pattern of Total Etch (Excite)

In order to ensure enamel bonding, the Primer must be acidic enough to expose the retentive pattern of prisms of dental enamel. The above electron micrographs show that AdheSE Primer can achieve a pronounced etching pattern on ground enamel, although is not as pronounced as that achieved with phosphoric acid etching (Total Etch).

Investigation: R&D Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein.

Resin tags

Excerpt of a Class V restoration with AdheSE and Tetric Flow (scale unit 0.1 mm). AdheSE has homogenously formed resin tags throughout the entire restorative surface.

Excerpt from right (scale unit 10 μm). Resin tags of about 20- μm length with lateral branches are visible.

After obtaining the informed consent of the patients, Class V restorations were fabricated with AdheSE and Tetric Flow for anterior teeth that could not be saved because of periodontal reasons. One week later the teeth were extracted. Subsequently, the tooth structure was dissolved with phosphoric acid (36%) and sodium hypochlorite (2%). Then, the remaining restoration was sputtered with gold and examined by scanning electron microscopy.

Head of study: Prof Dr Marco Ferrari, University of Siena, Italy

4. Clinical investigations (*in vivo*)

AdheSE has been the subject of clinical studies at various European and American universities. A summary of the studies is provided.

4.1 Internal clinical investigations of AdheSE

Head of study: Dr Arnd Peschke, R&D, Ivoclar-Vivadent AG, Bendererstr 2, 9494 Schaan, Liechtenstein

Objective: Obtain clinical data on the use of AdheSE in Class I – IV cavities

- Study design: Class I and II restorations: Fifteen posterior restorations have been placed using Tetric Ceram and 15 using Artemis, Class III and IV: 30 anterior restorations using Artemis
- Result: After 6 months: AdheSE is very easy to handle and considerably facilitates clinical treatment procedures. None of the restorations showed any undesired side effects that could be traced to the material, such as irritation of the gingiva.

4.2 Comparison of a new self-etching adhesive in the treatment of Class I and II cavities with the established Excite dentin adhesive used in the total etch technique in combination with the composite Tetric Ceram Heavy Body

- Head of study: Prof Dr H G Schaller, Zentrum für Zahn-, Mund- und Kieferheilkunde, Poliklinik für Zahnerhaltung und Paradontologie, Grosse Steinstr 19, 06097 Halle/S, Germany
- Objective: Determine the suitability of AdheSE in combination with Tetric Ceram HB composite for restoring occlusal stress-bearing Class I and II cavities in a clinical investigation
- Study design: One hundred cavities in 50 patients were treated. Twenty-seven of the cavities were classified as Class I and 72 as Class II. All the treated teeth were vital.
- Results: Five cases of postoperative sensitivity (3 Excite, 2 AdheSE) were recorded.

After one year no failures, no problems resulting from secondary caries and no visible marginal discolouration were observed.

4.3 Clinical investigation of AdheSE and Excite for the restoration of cervical defects

- Head of study: Dr Uwe Blunck, Charité, Medizinische Fakultät der Humboldt-Universität, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany
- Objective: Determine the effectiveness of the AdheSE and Excite adhesive systems in the restoration of cervical defects.
- Study design: Sixty teeth have been treated in 30 patients: split mouth design.
- Results: After 18 months, a retention loss rate of 6.9 % was recorded for AdheSE. Thus, AdheSE meets the ADA standards. Postoperative sensitivity was not established. A statistical evaluation with the Chi squared test did not reveal any statistically significant difference with regard to marginal behaviour and appearance of the restoration if either of the adhesive systems were used.

4.4 Clinically controlled investigation of the Artemis restorative material in combination with AdheSE

- Head of study: Prof Dr K Merte, Poliklinik für Konservierende Zahnheilkunde und Parodontologie, Universität Leipzig, Härtelstr 16-18, 04107 Leipzig, Germany
- Objective: Evaluate the AdheSE and Artemis system for the restoration of posterior teeth using a mouldable material (Class I and II cavities)
- Study design: Forty-nine restorations have been placed in 27 patients, of which 18 are Class I cavities and 31 Class II cavities. All the teeth were vital.
- Results: After 18 months, a cumulative error rate of 6.4 % was recorded with regard to marginal quality and secondary caries.

4.5 Prof. Dr. Joseph Dennison, University of Michigan, USA

Results:

Experimental: Fifty-three Class II Artemis restorations were placed in 30 patients using AdheSE as the adhesive system. Five of these restorations were placed in premolar and 48 in molar teeth.

Current status: Forty-eight restorations were available for inspection after 12 months and 40 restorations after 24 months.

Artemis/AdheSE	Baseline	12 months	24 months
Postoperative sensitivity	91%A, 9%B	94%A, 4%B, 2%D	93%A, 5%B, 2%D
Shade adaptation	87%A, 13%B	73%A, 17%B	58%A, 42%B
Marginal discoloration	100%A	88%A, 12%B	73%A, 27%B
Marginal adaptation	91%A, 9%B	50%A, 50%B	33%A, 67%B
Anatomic form	98%A, 2%B	67%A, 33%B	40%A, 60%B
Surface texture	100%A	96%A, 4%B	95%A, 5%B
Restoration fracture	100%A	96%A, 2%B, 2%D	94%A, 2%B, 4%D
Secondary caries	100%A	100%A	100%A

Survival rate 100%A	96%A, 4%D	92%A, 8%D
---------------------	-----------	-----------

Conclusion: The restorations, which were placed as replacements for amalgam, were clearly visible because the surrounding tooth structure was discolored. The operators were satisfied with the clinical performance of Artemis; however, they said that sometimes they had slight difficulty in placing the restorations in the posterior region.

4.6 Clinical Evaluation of AdheSE System in Class V Cervical Abrasions

Studienleiter: Prof. Dr. Gerard Kugel, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Tufts University, One Kneeland Str., Boston, MA 02111

Ziel: Evaluation des Verhaltens des neu entwickelten AdheSE an Dentin- und Schmelzoberflächen in Zahnhalsabrasionen

Studienaufbau: 50 Restaurationen in 30 Patienten

Artemis/AdheSE	Baseline	6 Monate	18 Monate
Retention	100%A	98%A, 2%D	98%A, 2%D
Farbanpassung	100%A	100%A	100%A
Randqualität	100%A	100%A	100%A
Randverfärbung	98%A, 2%B	92%A, 6%B, 2%D	86%A, 9%B, 5%C
Anat. Form	100%A	100%A	100%A
Sekundärkaries	100%A	100%A	100%A
Postop. Sens.	100%A	100%A	100%A
Zahnfleisch	100%A	100%A	100%A

Resultate: 18-Monatsergebnisse liegen vor. Keine postoperativen Sensibilitäten, Retentionsverluste von 6.9%. Damit erfüllt AdheSE die ADA-Kriterien.

4.7 Dr. Mark A. Latta, Creighton University School of Dentistry, Nebraska, USA

Experimental: 55 Class V Tetric EvoCeram restorations were placed in 28 patients, using AdheSE as bonding agent. The materials were cured with the high-performance light bluephase.

Status: The baseline report was completed in September 2004. Forty-five restorations in 26 patients could be evaluated after 12 months.

Results:	Tetric EvoCeram	Baseline	6 months	12 months
	Shade match	93%A, 7%B	91%A, 9%B	91%A, 9%B
	Marginal discoloration	100%A	86%A, 14%B	76%A, 24%B
	Marginal adaptation	100%A	93%A, 7%B	71%A, 29%B
	Secondary caries	100%A	100%A	100%A

Results:

Preop. sensitivity	71%A, 29%B	-	-
Postop. sensitivity	100%A	100%A	100%A
Retention	100%A	100%A	100 <mark>%</mark> A

Conclusion: It is noteworthy that sensitivity was eliminated in all lesions which were preoperatively sensitive and no post-operative sensitivity was reported. The clinical performance of the material has been rated "excellent". Ease of handling, an excellent shade match and a rapid polishing procedure were all commended as particularly notable attributes by the clinical operators. After 6 and 12 months, an increasing number of B evaluations for the criterion marginal discoloration were observed. According to the assessment of the examiner, these could be easily polished away, which was not done in order not to influence the study results.

4.8 Dr. Carlos Munoz, Dr. James Dunn, Loma Linda University, California, USA

- **Experimental:** Forty anterior restorations, including direct veneers, were placed with Tetric EvoCeram to treat e.g. Class III and IV defects in central/lateral incisors and canines, damaged incisal edges and diastemata. AdheSE was employed as the bonding material. Both AdheSE and Tetric EvoCeram were cured with bluephase.
- Status: The study started in April 2004. By September 2005, 33 restorations were evaluated after they had been in situ for 12 months. The study is being continued.

Tetric EvoCeram	Baseline	6 months	12 months
Anatomical form	100%A	100%A	100%A
Shade match	100%A	96%A, 4%B	76%A, 24%B
Marginal adaptation	100%A	100%A	100%A
Marginal discoloration	100%A	100%A	94%A, 6%B
Surface discoloration	100%A	100%A	85%A, 15%B
Secondary caries	100%A	100%A	100%A
Surface polishing	100%A	92%A, 8%B	61%A, 39%B
Retention	100%A	100%A	100%A

Conclusions: When placing the restorations, clinicians appreciated the good processing properties of Tetric EvoCeram. In the one-year report, Dr. Dunn wrote: "On the basis of the preliminary results after 12 months, it can be said that Tetric EvoCeram is a good clinical choice for anterior restorations. It shows excellent physical and aesthetic properties."

5. Biocompatibility

5.1 Composition

Primer: phosphonic acid acrylate, bis-acrylamide, water, initiators and stabilizers

Bonding: dimethacrylates, hydroxy ethyl methacrylate, highly dispersed silicon dioxide, initiators and stabilizers

5.2 General considerations

The bonding component contains only monomers, initiators and stabilizers, which are ubiquitously used in dental resin-based materials. Therefore, it can be considered to be safe for use for the indicated purpose on the basis of the current knowledge. The self-etch primer contains phosphonic acid acrylate which is already employed in the total etch adhesive Excite. Additionally, the new crosslinking monomer bis-acrylamide is used in the AdheSE Primer. Therefore, this toxicological evaluation emphasizes the toxicity of the new primer component.

5.3 Cytotoxicity

XTT Cytotoxicity data are available for bis-acrylamide in L929 cells. The data are given in Table 1 in comparison with other established monomers. The results show that bis-acrylamide and phosponic acid acrylate exhibit low cytotoxicity in comparison with the most frequently employed monomers Bis-GMA and triethylene glycol dimethacrylate.

Component	XTT ₅₀	Cell line	Ref
Bis-acrylamide	880 µg/ml	L929	1
Urethane dimethacrylate	600 µg/ml	L929	2
Phosphonic acid acrylate	60 µg/ml	L929	3
Triethylene glycol dimethacrylate	25 µg/ml	L929	2
Bis-GMA	25 µg/ml	L929	2

Table 1: XTT cytotoxicity data of selected monomers in L929 cells.

5.4 Mutagenicity of AdheSE primer

Ames tests

Phosphonic acid acrylate was found to be negative in the *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay [4]. Bis-acrylamide is negative in this test system as well [5]. Therefore, the components of the AdheSE Primer are not mutagenic in the *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay.

Mouse micronucleus test

 $5 \ \mu$ L of AdheSE Primer were injected subcutaneously in mice. No mutagenicity was found in the rodent bone marrow micronucleus assay [6].

Mouse lymphoma test

AdheSE Primer and AdheSE Bonding were mixed in a 1:1 ratio and polymerized to solid test specimens. These specimens were extracted and the extracts were tested for mutagenicity with the mouse lymphoma mutagenicity assay. In this test system under the experimental conditions AdheSE was found to be non-mutagenic [7].

According to these results, AdheSE poses no genotoxic risk.

5.5 Irritation

The irritation potential of AdheSE Primer and AdheSE Bonding has been evaluated with the HET-CAM Test. Thereby, the test solution is placed onto the chorionallantoic membrane of hen's eggs. The occurrence of vascular injury or coagulation is the basis for employing this technique as an indication of the potential of a chemical to damage mucous membranes. AdheSE Primer and AdheSE Bond exhibited a similar irritation score as the clinically used dentin adhesives Syntac Single-Component, Syntac Sprint, Systemp.desensitizer and Prompt

L-Pop [8]. Hence, the results of AdheSE Primer and AdheSE Bond are comparable with established products, which are in clinical use without problems.

5.6 Application specific considerations

When the AdheSE Primer is used correctly, approximately 20 μ L are applied on a tooth. Diffusion through dentin tubules into the pulp is possible, but it was found that HEMA is diluted approximately 6000 fold before it reaches the pulp [9]. One can assume that AdheSE Primer will be diluted in the same order of magnitude prior to reaching the pulp. Additionally, most monomers in the primer will be immobilized upon polymerization, which will further reduce the amount of that which could reach the pulp. If a drop (20 μ L) of AdheSE Primer is spilled in the mouth, it will immediately be diluted in approximately 2 mL of saliva. These considerations show first, that only minute quantities of material can get into contact with human tissues, and second, that the AdheSE components are immediately diluted upon exposure with the mucosa or during diffusion into dentinal tubules. This further reduces the toxicological risk of the product.

5.7 Conclusions

The data presented show that the toxic properties of AdheSE Primer and AdheSE Bonding are similar to those of other dentin adhesives that are in successful clinical use. Attention has to be paid to the irritant properties, which requires careful handling and application. Especially, contact with eyes and skin has to be prevented, because AdheSE Primer is highly acidic. The safety precautions are specified in the instructions for use.

Literature on toxicology

- [1] Cytotoxicity assay in vitro: Evaluation of materials for medical devices (XTT-Test) RCC Project 686401. December 2000.
- [2] In vitro cytotoxicity assay: evaluation of materials for medical devices (XTT-test) with five monomers. RCC Project 652768, May 1997.
- [3] Cytotoxicity assay in vitro: Evaluation of materials for medical devices (XTT-Test) with MA 154 flüssig. RCC Project 620902, October 1998.
- [4] Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay. RCC-CCR Report 620904, October 1998.

- [5] Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay. RCC-CCR Report 686402, March 2001.
- [6] Toxikon Final Report: 01-0867-G1. Rodent bone marrow micronucleus assay-ISO. April 2001.
- [7] Toxikon Final Report: 01-3678-G1. Mouse lymphoma mutagenesis assay-ISO. September 2001.
- [8] HET-CAM test with Syntac Single-Component, Syntac Sprint, Excite, Excite DSC, Systemp.desensitizer, Self Etch Primer V, Self Etch Bonding V, Self Etch Primer S, and Prompt L-Pop. NIOM Test Report no. 008/01, June 2001.
- [9] Bouillaguet S, Wataha JC, Hanks CT, Ciucci B, Holz J (1996). In vitro cytotoxicity and dentin permeability of HEMA. J. Endodont. 22:244-248.

6. References

Folwaczny M, Mehl A, Kunzelmann KH, Hickel R (2000). Tooth colored restorations of class V lesions using four different materials - five year results. J. Dent. Res. 79:361.

Frankenberger R, Kraemer N, Petschelt A (2000). Technique sensitivity of dentin bonding: Effect of application mistakes on bond strength and marginal adaption. Operative Dent. 25:324-330.

Gwinnett AJ (1992). Moist versus dry dentin: its effect on shear bond strength. Am J Dent 5:127-129.

Leinfelder K (1996). A conservative approach to placing posterior composite resin restorations. J Am Dent Assoc 127:743-748.

Mazer R, Cury C, Teixeira L, Leinfelder K (1994). Influence of Maleic acid on the retention of abfracted lesion restorations. J. Dent. Res. 73:275.

Moszner N, Zeuner F, Rumphorst A, Salz U, Rheinberger V (2001). Hydrolysestabile Phosphonsäuremonomere als Dentinhaftvermittler. Dental Praxis 0:105-112.

Pröbster L, Ulmer HJ, Engel E (1996). Four-year survival rate study of Empress restorations. DGZPW 0:59.

Schroeder HE (1991). Oral Structural Biiology New York: Thieme.

This documentation contains a survey of internal and external scientific data ("Information"). The documentation and Information have been prepared exclusively for use in-house by Ivoclar Vivadent and for external Ivoclar Vivadent partners. They are not intended to be used for any other purpose. While we believe the Information is current, we have not reviewed all of the Information, and we cannot and do not guarantee its accuracy, truthfulness, or reliability. We will not be liable for use of or reliance on any of the Information, even if we have been advised to the contrary. In particular, use of the information is at your sole risk. It is provided "as-is", "as available" and without any warranty express or implied, including (without limitation) of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose.

The Information has been provided without cost to you and in no event will we or anyone associated with us be liable to you or any other person for any incidental, direct, indirect, consequential, special, or punitive damages (including, but not limited to, damages for lost data, loss of use, or any cost to procure substitute information) arising out of your or another's use of or inability to use the Information even if we or our agents know of the possibility of such damages.

Ivoclar Vivadent AG Research & Development Scientific Service Bendererstrasse 2 FL - 9494 Schaan Liechtenstein

Contents: Dr Urs Lendenmann Issued: June 2004 (Dr Thomas Völkel) Replaces version of: July 2003